

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/450,023 | 11/29/1999 | WILLIAM A. GINDLESPERGER | 085919.00004 | 7046 |
| 33448 | 33448 7590 10/01/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT J. DEPKE LEWIS T. STEADMAN | | | FELTEN, DANIEL S | |
| HOLLAND & | KNIGHT LLC | | | |
| 131 SOUTH DEARBORN | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 30TH FLOOR | | | 3624 | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60603 | | | DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | , | , ** | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | | 09/958,023 | Gindle Sperger | | | | |
| | Advisory Action | Exam/iner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MANUAL DATE of this communication announced | pe / rea | <u> </u> | | | | |
| THE | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | | CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| There rejecti | fore, further action by the applicant is required to average ion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a time ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid the abandonment nely filed amendment | of this application. A proper reply to a final which places the application in condition for iled Request for Continued Examination | | | | |
| a) | The period for reply expires months from the | • | | | | | |
| - | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| ext app set ma | tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determ propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if clilling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce the final rejection. | ining the period of extens om: (1) the expiration dat hecked. Any reply receiv | sion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The e of the shortened statutory period for reply originally red by the Office later than three months after the | | | | |
| 1. | A Notice of Appeal was filed on $8/17/2$ 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | | | | |
| 2. 🗆 | 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require further | consideration and/or | search (see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) | \square they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be | elow); | | | | | |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in bissues for appeal; and/or | etter form for appeal | by materially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling | a corresponding num | ber of finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| | NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. 🗆 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. 🗆 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s)a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the new separate. | on-allowable claim(s). | would be allowable if submitted in | | | | |
| 5. 🗆 | The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because: | for reconsideration ha | as been considered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. 🗆 | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. 7 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \bowtie will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: | 911 | | | | | |
| _ — | | | | | | | |
| 8. □ | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is a) 📙 ap | proved or b) Udisapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. 🗆 | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | t(s) (PTO-1449) Pape | r No(s) | | | | |
| 10. 🗆 | Other: VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | 1/2 0 | | | | | |
| | SUI ENVIOUNT PATORIT CAMBILLAND | 11 Vices | Willa | | | | |